



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

DATE MAILED: 05/17/2006

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/927,495	08/13/2001	Jung-Wan Ko	1293.1059-CIP2D2	7492
49455	7590 05/17/2006		EXAMINER	
STEIN, MCEWEN & BUI, LLP			NGUYEN, HUY THANH	
1400 EYE ST	REET, NW			
SUITE 300			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
WASHINGTON, DC 20005			2621	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	09/927,495	KO ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
·	HUY T. NGUYEN	2621			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 16(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONEI	l. ely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 27 Mg 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This 3) Since this application is in condition for alloward closed in accordance with the practice under E 	action is non-final. ace except for formal matters, pro				
Disposition of Claims					
 4) Claim(s) 1-26 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-4,12,15,21,25 and 26 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) 5-11,13,14,16-20 and 22-24 is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. 					
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) access Applicant may not request that any objection to the of Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction of the original transfer of the correction of the original transfer of the correction of the correction of the original transfer of the correction of the corre	epted or b) objected to by the Edrawing(s) be held in abeyance. See on is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	s have been received. s have been received in Application ity documents have been received (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage			
Attachment(s) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 12/19/05/5/27/03, 7/2/					

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office 9/30/04/ 7/1/03/07 Office Action Summary PTOL-326 (Rev. 7-05) 8/13/01, 9/03/07 Office Action Summary

Art Unit: 2621

DETAILED ACTION

Double Patenting

1. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. A nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting rejection is appropriate where the conflicting claims are not identical, but at least one examined application claim is not patentably distinct from the reference claim(s) because the examined application claim is either anticipated by, or would have been obvious over, the reference claim(s). See, e.g., *In re Berg*, 140 F.3d 1428, 46 USPQ2d 1226 (Fed. Cir. 1998); *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) or 1.321(d) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent either is shown to be commonly owned with this application, or claims an invention made as a result of activities undertaken within the scope of a joint research agreement.

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

2. Claims 1- 4,12,15,21 and 25-26 are rejected on the ground of nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 16-17 of U.S. Patent No. 6,678,467. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because the difference between claims 1-4,12,15,21 and 25-26 of the present application and claims 16 –17 of U.S. Patent No. 6,678,467 is that claims 1-4,12,25,21 and 25-26 of the present application represent a recording/ reproducing apparatus for recording and reproducing the audio, catalog playback information and catalog information on and from a medium while claims 16 –17 of U.S. Patent No. 6,678,467 represent a medium has been stored with

Application/Control Number: 09/927,495

Art Unit: 2621

audio information, catalog playback information and catalog information . However, it is noted that using a recording / reproducing apparatus for controlling, recording and reproducing the information on and from a medium is well known in the art therefore Official Notice is taken and it would have been obvious to one ordinary skill in the at to modify claims 16-17 of U.S. Patent No. 6,678,467 by providing 16-17 of U.S. Patent No. 6,678,467 with a recording/ reproducing apparatus for performing recording and reproducing the audio and catalog information based on the catalog layback information on and from the medium and to produce claims 1-4,12,15,21 and 25-26 of the present application .

Page 3

3. Claim 12 is rejected on the ground of nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 20 and 22 of U.S. Patent No. 6,707,985. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because the difference between claims 12 of the present application and claims 20 and 22 of U.S. Patent No. 6,707,985 is that claim 12 of the present application represents a method of recording the audio, catalog playback information and catalog information on a medium while claims 20 and 22 of U.S. Patent No. 6,707,985 represent an apparatus for recording audio information, catalog playback information and catalog information on a medium. However, it is obvious to one of ordinary kill in the art to uses the apparatus of claims 20 and 22 of U.S. Patent No. 6,707,985 to perform the method of claim 12 of the present application since the

Art Unit: 2621

method of claims 12 of the present application corresponds to apparatus claims 20 and 22 of U.S. Patent No. 6,707,985 .

Allowable Subject Matter

- 4. Claims 5-11,13-14,16-20 and 22-24 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.
- 5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to HUY T. NGUYEN whose telephone number is (571) 272-7378. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:30AM -6:00PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, James Groody can be reached on (571) 272-7950. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

HUNGUYEN PRIMARY EXAMINER